# APPENDIX A. Annual Report of the Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures (2020-21)

## Submitted by Prof. Robin Beech, Dean of Students Glenn Zabowski, AssociateDean ofStudents

### **OVERVIEW**

The Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Proced (NASK H <sup>3</sup>) & Rate inhoration policy at McGill: it outlines the responsibilities of students for both academic analogomemic matters. It is a policy that contains definitions about misconduct, but also details a full disciplinary process, and nappeal process. 7 K H & R G H H Q V X U H V V W X Cests Or W V ¶ U L J K W V V maintained and is a frequently used policy at McGill.

Themandate of the ommittee on Student Discipline (CSDt)s role, and membership, and so embedded within the Code. The committee's a tribunal rather than a policy committee; it functions when convened to consider disciplinary classes ght to its attention. The policies administered by CSD are normally brought to Senate, after appropriate consultations by the Dean of Students of the CSD7.69 470.95 Tm [(503Th4 ET BT 10 10)-3(Da)6(re)-172.02

7 K H 'LVFLSOLQDU\ 2 10 H IQHIU IQV MIROEFIHV HEXEDKOVWXGHQWIVVLV be treated as such. Regular meetiangs training of all the Disciplinary Officers and members of the CSD help ensure that there is a common understanding of how the Code should be interpreted anwhat constitutes appropriate sanctions. The Office of the Dean of Students servess a resource to students, Disciplinary Officers and to members of the CSD regarding matters related to the Code.

### AGGREGATE CASE STATISTICS FOR THE 2020-2021ACADEMIC YEAR

This Annual Report includes all discipline cases formally addressed during the last academic year at McGill University. 420 students participated in the scipline process last academic year. Some students were involved in more than one and there were involved in interim orders (exclusion / ceas& desist communication) which did not result in a disposed descent than one article of the Code may be cifed somecases

Statistical Overview of Disciplinary Cases for Sept. 1, 201

# 2020-2021 Detailed Analysis

# ACADEMIC OFFENCES

### CASES REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON STUDENT DISCIPLINE

### COMMENTS BY THE OFFICE OF THE DEAN OF STUDENTS

Normally, since 20134, atypical academic yearwould see 200-300 cases with a disposition. In part due to the COVID19 pandemic mainlyremote course deliver the distribution of cases has shifter duch more towards near cademic cases Also, as all ded to earlier in the UHSRUW ZKLOH DFDGHPLF FDVHV UHPDLQHG VWHDG\ WKH\ contest option necessitated b Disciplinary Officer workload issues. There were 223 formal academic discipline cases in 2020 21 compared with 243 in 2019-20 and 201 in 2018-19. The number of decisions involving plagiarism we were than the year before (33 versus 165 in 2019-20) and the number of decisions involving cheating increased from 72 in 2019-20.

There are always fluctuations yearly in the number of cases dit is essential that the community uses the Code of Conduct when there is a possible violation of an academica cademic article of the codes this ensures there is a fair process for students.

Of the cases 2020-21, 292 were from Student Housing were from Arts, 37 were from Engineering 32 from Science 30 from Continuing Studies and 23 from Graduate and Postdoctoal Studies The remaining cases are distributed amonth eother Faculties Schools Normally 1 in every 5 of the cases involve graduate students, however last academic year skewed by repeated violations of COVID 9 regulations in undergraduate esidences and remote course delivery, about 5% of cases involved graduate students.

Exonerations, in which it is deemed there is a lack of obtenty incing, and reliable evidence, accounted fo29.7% of academic offence decisions and 7% of nonacademic decisions these are similar to past years listorically, about one four or five of all decisions result in an exoneration, which is an encouraging sign of a fair and robust process.

There were 63 admonishments in the discipline process in 20·21 compared to 226 in 2019-20. There were 13 reprimands in the discipline process in 20·21 compared to wo in both 2018-19 and 2019/20. Unlike admonishments eprimands are an official disciplinary record, held by the Dean of Students Officteut this does not show up on a transcript official disciplinary record may impact KH VWXGHQW¶VHOLJLELOLW\IRUPHPEH organizations or government or civil service positions, or acceptance to certain academic institutions or programs. Thus, a reprimand is a potentially of more serious outcomend thus is reserved for the most serious cases. Disclosures of disciplinary records are done only with the consent of the student.

A total of 295 decisions of conduct probation periods of varying dur or 221

### **CONCLUSION AND THANKS**

Service as a Disciplinary Offer (Appendix E) and as a CSD member (Appendix F) demands an important investment of time by the staff and students appointed to these positions. Many thanks to all for their attention to detail and to approved procedures, and for the respectful environme maintained in all disciplinary matters. These are demanding roles that involve using a range of tools and strategiets maintain order and assuthe principles of acceptance integrity are respected. This alsocludes the application of the Code to balance the rights of complainants, persons under allegation and the wider community of the University.

The disciplinary process allows for the student to be accompanied by an advisor, who must be a member of the McGill community and not paid for the service he Office of the Dean of Studentswould like to draw special attention student Advocacy This long-standing student run organization provides advisors specifically to assist students through the disciplinary process. The service provided by StudeAdvocacy is exemplary and many that the for their continued dedication.

Many key issues in our community are played out throthghdiscipline system, such as, academic integrity during remote course delivers, limits of freedom of expssion, new and changing technologyD Q G W K H H [UNIVEQ:INV F R IQ WAKE[I WA fere does McGill University have jurisdiction, and where does it not? Staff and students are on the frontline of these key debates and they make difficult decisions obtaily basis that can have consequences not only for individuals, but for our community as a whole. Much appreciation to all those involved

AREA	DISCIPLINARY OFFICERS			
	Prof. Michael Hilke, Dept. of Physics Prof. Jérôme Vétois Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics			
	Prof. Rosalie BelangeRioux, Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics			
	Prof. Bradley SiwickDept.of Chemistry			
Schulich School of Music	Prof. Douglas McNabneyAssociate Dean (Academic and Student Affairs			
Residences	Residence Life Manages (RLMs)			
residences	Lei Liang			
	Warren Haydock Gillan Latour			
	Assistant Residence Life ManageARLMs)			
	Logan Kinghorn			
	Karman Jeji			
	Other:			
	Lindsay O'Connell Residence Ife & Accommodations Manager at Macdonald Campus Andrew Persons Assistant Manager, Athletics and Recreation Sonia Smith Libraries			